UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/783,054 | 02/20/2004 | Ashutosh Chilkoti | 5405-318 | 6784 |
| 20792 7590 09/20/2007 MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC | | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 37428 | | | KENNEDY, SHARON E | |
| RALEIGH, NC 27627 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1615 | , |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/20/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/783,054 | CHILKOTI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Sharon E. Kennedy | 1615 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE! | lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction and/or expressions. | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ite | | | | |

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains a disclosure including claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Article Species: Applicant must select one of the articles set forth in the specification, such as catheter, shunt, lead, etc., as set forth in applicant's [0064].

Surface Portion Species: Applicant must select one of the group of metals, metal oxides, semiconductors, polymers, silicon, silicon oxide. If selecting metals, applicant must select one of gold, silver, copper, cadmium, zinc, palladium, platinum, mercury, lead, iron, chromium, manganese, tungsten. If selecting metal oxides, applicant must select one of silica, alumina, quartz, glass. If selecting polymers, applicant must also select one of the polymers set forth in [0037].

<u>Linking Layer Bonding Species</u>: Applicant must select one of covalent, non-covalent. If selecting non-covalent, then also select one of hydrophobic bonding, hydrogen bonding, Van der Waals interactions, ionic bonding, etc.

<u>Linking Layer Species</u>: Applicant must select one of a continuous or patterned linking layer.

Anchoring Group Species: Applicant must select one of the anchoring groups compatible with the selected surface portion species as set forth in the specification to provide an election that is enabled. For example, [0040] states that carboxylic acids are the appropriate anchoring groups for metal oxides such as silica.

Initiator Species: Applicant must select one of the initiators listed in [0041].

Art Unit: 1615

Spacers: Applicant must select on of the spacers listed in [0042].

<u>Depositing of the Anchoring (linking) Layer:</u> Applicant must select one of the techniques set forth in [0043].

Brush Polymer Formation: Applicant must select one of the protein-resistant head groups from [0046] and [0047]-[0059]. Applicant must select one of the core vinyl monomers from [0045].

Applicant must select one species from each of the sets of species above.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 33 are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed

Art Unit: 1615

(37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse of the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Art Unit: 1615

A telephone call was made to Kenneth D. Sibley on September 7, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon E. Kennedy whose telephone number is 571/272-4948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on 571/272-8373.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sharon E. Kennedy/ Sharon E. Kennedy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615